

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,100	03/31/2004	Timothy I. Salsbury	081445-0380	6560
26371	7590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER			BARNES, CRYSTAL J	
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/200:	5 ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/815,100	SALSBURY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Crystal J. Barnes	2121			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rej	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is increased in the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is increased in the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
2a)☐ ☐ 3)⊠ \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)⊠ (6)□ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-19 and 22-39</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4,5,20 and 21</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠ T / /	The specification is objected to by the Examine in the drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Capplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine in the content of the capplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Examine in the capplacement of the capplacem	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See son is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/04 & 8/16/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,100 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is an Ex Parte Quayle upon examination of the above-identified application on the merits. Claims 1-39 are pending in this application.

Priority

2. Applicant has complied with the conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120.

Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner has considered the information disclosure statements
 (IDS) submitted on 30 June and 16 August 2004.

Drawings

- 4. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not

Application/Control Number: 10/815,100 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

mentioned in the description: reference numbers 138 and 140 in figure 8 and $\tau_{device}, \tau_{desired}$ and r_{spec} in figure 9.

6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 7. figures 5 and 7 are not mentioned in the detailed description and "controlled Art Unit: 2121

device 30" on page 20 [0049] and [0051] should be --controlled device 130--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 4, 5, 20 and 21 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 2, 3, 18 and 19, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-3, 6-19 and 22-39 are allowable.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As per claim 1, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach calculating a time constant for the averaging device based at least on the time constant for the controlled device, and the specified operational characteristic.

Art Unit: 2121

As per claim 17, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach the averaging device includes a time constant based on the time constant for the controlled device, a controllable range of the controlled device, and the specified operational characteristic.

As per claim 28, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach averaging a signal with a time constant based on the time constant for the controlled device, the controllable range of the controlled device, and the specified operational characteristic:

As per claim 32, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fails to teach receiving a signal representative of a measured value of a controlled parameter of the device, the controlled parameter having a second time constant that is smaller than the first time constant; passing the measured value through an averaging device using a third time constant to provide an averaged value.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,100

Art Unit: 2121

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to control loops with adjustable time constants in general:

USPN 6,687,555 B1 to Honda et al.

USPN 6,054,903 to Fielder

USPN 5,893,055 to Chen

USPN 4,901,918 to Grald et al.

USPN 4,349,868 to Brown

USPN 4,196,356 to Kabat

12. This application is in condition for allowance except for the above formal matters in the drawings, specification and claims.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal J. Barnes whose telephone

Art Unit: 2121

number is 571.272.3679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 571.272.3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CJB 4 August 2005

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.
Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2121